

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/705,450	Applicant(s) TURNER ET AL.	
	Examiner Robert C. Scheibel	Art Unit 2666	

All Participants:

(1) Robert C. Scheibel.

(2) _____.

Status of Application: Pending

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: _____

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

7 and 8

Prior art documents discussed:

"Hashed and Hierarchical Timing Wheels: Efficient Data Structures for Implementing a Timer Facility" by George Varghese and Anthony Lauck.

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner outlined an obviousness rejection of claims 7 and 8 using the Varghese reference. Applicant agreed to change the dependency of claims 7 and 8 back to claim 6 (as in the original filing).

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)